UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/829,340 | 04/22/2004 | Byung-rae Lee | Q80013 | 6810 |
| | 7590 08/15/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. PEESO, THOMAS R | | HOMAS R | | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTO | N. DC 20037 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ., | | 2132 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/15/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|-----|
| Office Action Summer. | 10/829,340 | LEE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thomas R. Peeso | 2132 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | ters prosecution as to the merits | is |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application | n. | • | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | • | | • |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | · | • |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | · | | |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | | ad to butto Evancina | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>22Apr2004</u> is/are: a) | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | (4) |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | |
| | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action of form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | • |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in A | pplication No | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the present | riority documents have beer | received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ist of the certified copies not | received. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | 6) Other: | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :26Mar2007, 26Mar2007, 15Nov2006, 08Sep2006, 04Oct2005, 21Apr2005, 08Sep2004.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,340

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,108,420 to Larose et al.

As per claims 1 and 2, Larose et al. disclose the limitations of these claims (col. 8, line 60 to col. 9, line 15 and fig. 3B).

As per claim 4, Larose et al. disclose these features (col. 5, line 21-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larose et al. as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

Art Unit: 2132

As per claim 3, Larose et al. do not specifically disclose the limitations of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined these well known features in applicants invention with the invention of Larose et al. since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larose et al. in view of the examiner taking official notice.

 Application/Control Number: 10/829,340

Art Unit: 2132

invention of Larose et al. since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment.

As per claims 6 and 7, the exa, omer further takes official notice that these \eatures are also well known in the art for the same reasons as stated above.

As per claim 8, Larose et al. disclose this feature (fig. 3C).

.Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,340

Art Unit: 2132

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

12 August 2007